3 July 1953

SENTERALIZATION FOR THE PECULT

SABJECT: Comments on Technical Their (roup Meeting on Satellite

Precking Comerce on 1 July 198

REFERENCE: Commerce Managementus PC-48/20-CFV of 1 July 1955 on above

eub.ject

1. With respect to Mr. Headism's statement as set forth in the referenced memorandum (see first puregraph under B-1 of referenced memorandum), Mr. Meadism siles made the point that these cameras could geodetically position extellites, which would appear to have strategic usefulness. He did not say as reported in the referenced memorandum that the provision of these cameras represented a "break through for the Russians but did say that it could represent a saving of time of perhaps as such as three years in Soviet development of a similar camera.

2. The last paragraph under N-1 of the referenced monormaken reads as follows:

In reference to the commercians being a technical gain by the USSR (Air Force memorandum), Mr. Tausay stated that there were only five people in the world that were capable of producing these lens and that one of them was a lussion.

The above paragraph implies that there would not be a technical pain to the UEER in providing them with the esseras. While Mr. Tamasy's statement that one of the people capable of producing these lens is a Russian is apparently true, it is my understanding that despite this capability the development of the lens without specifications would involve considerable research time on the part of the Soviet. D/GP confines that this is also their understanding.

3. There appeared to be general agreement at the meeting that whether or not strategic use was descripted, those caseous represented a scientific achievement that the foriet would be pleased to have and an abequate guid pro quo should be caseted should their export be authorized. The guid pro quo suggested varied from the BY peoples suggestion that providing these caseous would engender good will leading to an enchange of information (including the data developed by the Soviet with these caseous) to suggestions that we should receive similar Soviet equipment as proposed by the DOD. (The minutes of the Informal Working Group on BY dated 2) have 1953 suggest possible guid pro que; paragraph 1-b).

* 2 ×

- 4. My evaluation of the statements made at the meeting might be summarised as follows:
 - a. The essents cannot be used difficiently for the detection of missiles (guided or tanguided) learnched by a hostile country.
 - b. While those cameras represent the best sethod of fixing a very small setallite with respect to its position over the earth's surface, there are at least equally efficient methods for so fixing larger satellites.
 - c. The Straingle significance of these cameras with respect to offensive or definative warfare has not been denoted strated; scientific significance has been denoted rated.
 - d. A guid pro quo might be spacted from the Soviet in connection with the prevision of these comments to them. Subject to the determination by those qualified to judge what represents adequate quid pro quo and its successful negotiation, the export of the comments to the Soviet could be justified as in the best interests of the United States.

25X1A9a

THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT

Approved For Release 2000/08/22 : CIA-RDP61S00527A000200090023-2

Area Records Officer, ORR

27 June 1958

THRU : Chief, D/S

Acting Chief, S/TD

Destruction of Records, (4th Quarter Fiscal Year 1958) Reports of

No records were destroyed by S/TD during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year 1958.

25X1A9a